

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SAFETY & REGULATIONS, NAHAN, H.P.

Office of the Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety), DC Office Complex, Nahan

No.HSR-NHN/FSSAI/2026- 284

Dated; Nahan, the 31 /07/2026.

To

The Joint Commissioner-cum-
Director Health Safety & Regulation
Shimla-2 H.P

Sub: - **Submission of Final Analysis of Food Samples found non-conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 to be placed on official website month of June 2026.**

Sir,

In reference to your office letter No. HSR-H(FSSA) 988/2020CTL/2013-Vol-III-7727-28 Dt: 31.01.2026 on the subject cited above

In this regard the details of Food Samples found non conforming to the standards of FSS Act, 2006 is as under:-

Sr. No.	Sample Code	Sample Name	Date of Lifting	Place of Collection	Date of Notice U/s 46(4) under FSS Act, 2006
01.	NHN-1013	Candy Jeck Coconut Kesar Peda	21.04.2026	Shillai	04.06.2026
02.	NHN-1017	Paneer (loose)	21.04.2026	Shillai	04.06.2026
03.	NHN-1020	Khoya Burfi (loose)	29.04.2026	Paonta Sahib	04.06.2026
04.	NHN-1021	Icelake Litchi Fruit Drink	29.04.2026	Paonta Sahib	04.06.2026
05.	NHN-1023	Paneer (loose)	29.04.2026	Batawali Paonta Sahib	04.06.2026
06.	NHN-1025	Ragi Flour	29.04.2026	Paonta Sahib	04.06.2026
07.	NHN-1026	Rice Kachri (fryums)	29.04.2026	Paonta Sahib	04.06.2026

This is for your kind information & necessary action please.

Encls: Analys reports (07 Nos)

Yours faithfully


31/07/26
Assistant Commissioner,
(Food Safety)
Nahan, District Sirmaur, H.P

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 082

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Candy Jeck Coconut Kesaer Peda" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1013 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 25.04.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Proprietary Food" falling under regulation No. 2.12 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (started on 25.04.2026 and completed on 29.04.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent hair & excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, larvae, mould growth, rodent excreta and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 03.006:2023	7.60%	---
3.	Total ash	FSSAI 03.011:2023	1.3%	----
4.	Acid Insoluble Ash	FSSAI 03.012:2023	0.100%	----
5.	Test for sugar	FSSAI 01.002:2022	Positive	Positive
6.	Test for Starch	FSSAI 01.003:2022	Positive	May or may not be present
7.	B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C	FSSAI 01.088:2022	34.3	-----
8.	Added colouring matter	FSSAI manual for additives 4.2:2016	Tartrazine	With or without permitted natural and synthetic food colours

Opinion:- The labelling of the sample of "Candy Jeck Coconut Kesaer Peda" contravenes Regulation no 4.2 labelling & Display requirements under the Food safety & standards (Vegan food) Regulation, 2022 as the logo is not given as specified in the regulation & the sample also contravenes Regulation, No. 5.5 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as the sample contain added colour Tartrazine where as the specific name(s) or recognized International Numbering System (INS) of the Added food colour is not declared on the label. Hence, this sample of "Candy Jeck Coconut Kesaer Peda" is **Misbranded**

Signed this 29th day of April, 2026

Food Analyst
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C Office Complex, Nahar
Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 086

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Paneer (loose)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1017 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 25.04.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Paneer" falling under regulation No. 2.1.16 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 25.04.2026 and completed on 02.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.


P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of method of test used	Result	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, dust, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 01.043:2022	54.02%	Not more than 60%
3.	Milk fat content of the dry matter	FSSAI 01.044:2022	45.39%	Not less than 50% of the dry matter
4.	Test for the presence of starch	FSSAI 01.003:2022	Negative	Negative
5.	Test for Sugar	FSSAI 01.002:2022	Negative	Negative
6.	Added colouring matter	FSSAI manual for additives .4.2:2016	Absent	Absent
7.	B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C	FSSAI 01.088:2022	48.59	40-44

Opinion: -The sample of "Paneer (loose)" does not conform to Standards laid down under regulation No. 2.1.16 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 with respect to Milk fat content of the dry matter & B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C. Hence, this sample of "Paneer (loose)" is **Substandard**

Signed this 4th day of May, 2026

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C Office Complex, Nahan
Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)



Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 0106

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Khoya Burfi (Loose)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1020 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 04.05.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Proprietary Food" falling under regulation No. 2.12 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (started on 04.05.2026 and completed on 11.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from insects, larvae, rodent hair, excreta & mould growth.

P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, larvae, mould growth, rodent excreta and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 03.006:2023	9.5%	---
3.	Total ash	FSSAI 03.011:2023	1.3%	----
4.	Acid Insoluble Ash	FSSAI 03.012:2023	0.072%	----
5.	Total fat	FSSAI 01.044:2022	15.91	
6.	Test for sugar	FSSAI 01.002:2022	Positive	Positive
7.	Test for Starch	FSSAI 01.003:2022	Positive	May or may not be present
8.	Baudouin Test	FSSAI 02.013:2021	Negative	Negative
9.	B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C	FSSAI 01.088:2022	55.40	40-44
10.	Added colouring matter	FSSAI manual for additives 4.2:2016	Absent	With or without permitted natural and synthetic food colours

Opinion:- The sample of "Khoya Burfi (Loose)" does not conform to the Provisions laid down under regulation No. 2.12 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 with respect to B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C. Hence, this sample of "Khoya Burfi (Loose)" is **Substandard**

Signed this 11th day of May, 2026


Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C. Office Complex, Nahar
Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)



Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 0107

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Icelake Litchi Fruit Drink" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1021 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 04.05.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Ready to Serve Fruit Drink" falling under regulation No. 2.3.10 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 04.05.2026 and completed on 14.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, larvae, mould growth, rodent excreta and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Total Sugar	FSSAI manual FRUIT AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS 2.6	11.38%	10.5%(As per label claim)
3.	Added colouring matter	FSSAI manual for additives 4.2:2016	Absent	With or without permitted natural colours and permitted synthetic food colours
4.	Total soluble solids%	FSSAI manual FRUIT AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS 2.6	12.2%	-----

Opinion:-The sample of "Icelake Litchi Fruit Drink" contravenes Regulation no. 5.8 under Labelling Requirements under Food Safety and Standards (Labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as unit sale price is not declared as per Legal Metrology Act, 2009. Hence, this sample of "Icelake Litchi Fruit Drink" is **misbranded**.

Signed this 14th day of May, 2026


Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C. Office Complex, Nahan
Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)



Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 0109

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Paneer (loose)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1023 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 04.05.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Paneer" falling under regulation No. 2.1.16 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 04.05.2026 and completed on 14.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, insects, larvae, rodent excreta, mould growth and other extraneous matter.

P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of method of test used	Result	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, dust, insects, mould growth and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 01.043:2022	52.44%	Not more than 60%
3.	Milk fat content of the dry matter	FSSAI 01.044:2022	42.94%	Not less than 50% of the dry matter
4.	Test for the presence of starch	FSSAI 01.003:2022	Negative	Negative
5.	Test for Sugar	FSSAI 01.002:2022	Negative	Negative
6.	Added colouring matter	FSSAI manual for additives .4.2:2016	Absent	Absent
7.	B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C	FSSAI 01.088:2022	48.14	40-44

Opinion: -The sample of "Paneer (loose)" does not conform to Standards laid down under regulation No. 2.1.16 of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 with respect to Milk fat content of the dry matter & B.R. reading of extracted fat at 40°C. Hence, this sample of "Paneer (loose)" is **Substandard**

Signed this 14th day of May, 2026


Food Analyst
 Himanshu Prakash
 C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
 D.C Office Complex, Nahar
 Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)



FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 0111

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms.Privanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Ragi Flour" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1025 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 04.05.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "Ragi Flour" falling under Regulation No.2.4.34 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulation, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started 04.05.2026 and completed on 13.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed plastic container.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from dirt, dust, insects, rodent hair, excreta and other objectionable extraneous matter.

P.T.O

Sr. No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of method of test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insects, larvae, mould growth, rodent excreta and other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 03.006:2023	9.6%	Not more than 10.0 %
3.	Total Ash % by weight (on dry weight basis)	FSSAI 03.011:2023	2.24%	----
4.	Ash insoluble in dilute HCl % by weight (on dry weight basis)	FSSAI 03.012:2023	0.08%	Not more than 0.15%
5.	Alcoholic acidity (with 90 per cent alcohol) expressed as H ₂ SO ₄ (on dry weight basis)	FSSAI 03.015:2023	0.73%	----
6.	Test for presence of ACM	FSSAI manual for additives 4.2:2016	Absent	Absent

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Ragi Flour" contravenes Regulation no. 5.4, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, 5.10 & 5.14 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as, declaration regarding Veg or non veg, name and complete address of manufacturer or marketer or packer, FSSAI logo and License number, retail sale price, Consumer Care details, Lot/Code/Batch identification, manufacturing & Use by/ Expiry date and declaration regarding food allergens are not mentioned on the label. The sample also contravenes Regulation No.5.1 of labelling under Chapter II under Organic Food labelling & certification under Food safety & Standards (Organic Foods) Regulation, 2017, as the label claim the product "Organic" whereas certification or Quality assurance mark of NPOP, PGS- India or any other system has not been mentioned on the label as per the requirements. Hence, this sample of "Ragi Flour" is **Misbranded**.

Signed this 13th day of May, 2026



[Signature]
Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C Office Complex, Mahan
Distt. Simpur (H.P.)

Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report

FORM VII A

(Report of the Food Analyst)

Report No. 0112

Certified that I, Ripu Daman Kumar duly appointed as Food Analyst under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006) for Himachal Pradesh received from Ms. Priyanka Kashyap, Food Safety Officer, Distt. Sirmour (H.P) a sample of "Rice Kachri (fryms)" bearing Code No. and Serial No. NHN-1026 of the Designated Officer of Distt. Sirmour (H.P) on 04.05.2026 for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The sample is properly sealed and fastened and that I found the seal intact and unbroken.

The seal fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of seal separately sent by the Food Safety Officer.

I found the sample to be "proprietary food" falling under Regulation No. 2.12 of The Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed (analysis started on 04.05.2026 and completed on 11.05.2026) and the result of its analysis is given below:-

Analysis Report:

(i) Sample Description:-

The contents of the sample received in a sealed original Pack.

(ii) Physical Appearance:-

Free from living insects, moulds, dead insects, insect fragments and rodent contamination.

Sr.No.	Quality Characteristics	Name of the method of the test used	Results	Prescribed Standards :- (a) As per Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (b) As per label declaration for proprietary food
1.	Test for the presence of dirt, insect's larvae and impurities or any other extraneous matter	SOP037/01/26.07	Negative	Negative
2.	Moisture	FSSAI 03.006:2023	2.84%	-----
3.	Acid insoluble ash	FSSAI 03.012:2023	0.050%	----
4.	Test for ACM	FSSAI manual for additives 4.2:2016	Absent	----
5.	Total Ash	FSSAI 03.011:2023	0.91%	-----

Opinion: - The labelling of the sample of "Rice Kachri (fryms)" contravenes Regulation no. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, 5.10 & 5.14 labelling requirement under The Food Safety and Standards (labelling and Display) Regulations, 2020 as Name of the food, list of Ingredients, Nutritional information, declaration regarding Veg or non veg, declaration regarding food additives, name and complete address of manufacturer or marketer or packer, FSSAI logo and License number, , retail sale price, Consumer Care details, Lot/Code/Batch identification, manufacturing & Use by/ Expiry date and declaration regarding food allergens are not mentioned on the label..Hence, this sample of "Rice Kachri (fryms)" is **Misbranded**.

Signed this 11th day of May, 2026


Food Analyst
Himachal Pradesh
C.T.L. Kandaghat
C.T.L. Kandaghat

Address: - The Designated Officer Cum Assistant Commissioner (Food Safety)
D.C Office Complex, Baham
Distt. Sirmour (H.P.)



Disclaimer: This report refers only to this particular sample submitted for testing. Report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory can provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context

End of the report